





UNITED STAR AS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TOUR PILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 78.581 06/19/97 OHTANI A 35.G1975

005514 LMS1/1004 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112 EXAMINER CHRISTENSEN, A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 10/04/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/878,581

Applicant(s)

Ohtani et al.

Examiner

Andy Christensen

Group Art Unit 2712



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	:
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection, filed on	ected to by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
 X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Art Unit: 2712

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(e)the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

1. Claims 1-3, 7, 9, 11-16, 20, 22 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,654,756).

Regarding Claim 1, Takahashi et al. disclose (Figure 6) an image sensing apparatus comprising a plurality of light sources (1), an image sensing means (4) for sensing an image illuminated by the light sources and a light source controlling means (2) for controlling the plurality of light sources so that a particular light source of the plurality of light sources is turned on during a period in which no image sensing operation is performed by the image sensing means (Column 3, Lines 46-58).

Regarding Claim 2, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light source controlling means controls the light sources so that a light source which is turned on first in an image sensing operation is turned on during a period in which no image sensing operation is performed (Column 3, Lines 46-58; Figure 8).

Regarding Claim 3, Takahashi et al, disclose that the light source controlling means

Art Unit: 2712

controls the light sources so that a light source which needs a long time to turn on is turned on during a period in which no image sensing operation is performed (Column 3, Lines 53-56).

Regarding Claim 7, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light source controlling means turns on the plurality of light sources during both a period in which an image sensing operation is performed and a period in which no image sensing operation is performed (Column 3, Lines 46-58).

Regarding Claim 9, Takahashi et al disclose that the light source control means controls the light sources so that a part of the plurality of light sources is turned on during a period in which an image sensing operation is performed, and the same light source as the part of light sources which is turned on during the period in which an image sensing operation is performed is turned on also during a period in which no image sensing operation is performed (Column 3, Lines 46-58; Figure 8).

Regarding Claim 11, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light sources include a light emitting diode (Column 8, Lines 61-62).

Regarding Claim 12, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light sources includes light sources which emit light with wavelengths corresponding to red, green and blue (Column 4, Lines 11-12).

Art Unit: 2712

Regarding Claim 13, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light sources include light sources which emit light with wavelengths corresponding to yellow, cyan and magenta (Column 4, Line 14).

As to Claims 14-16, 20, 22 and 24-26, see Examiner's comments regarding Claims 1-3, 7, 9 and 11-13 respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 4-5, 8, 10, 17-18, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. in view of Tani et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,877,487).

Regarding Claim 4, Takahashi et al. disclose that the light sources are turned on sequentially during a period in which an image sensing operation is performed and during a period in which no image sensing operation is performed (Column 3, Lines 46-58; Figure 8) so as to produce a color image during the period in which the image sensing operation is performed, but do not disclose that all the light sources are turned on at the same time.

However, Tani et al. disclose simultaneously turning all of a plurality of light sources used

Art Unit: 2712

to illuminate an object in order to produce a white light illumination of the object for operation in a monochromatic mode (Column 11, Lines 11-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to simultaneously illuminate the plurality of light sources of Takahashi et al. in order to enable the producing of a monochromatic image thereby increasing the utility of the device by providing a monochromatic operating mode in addition to the color mode of operation.

Regarding Claim 5, Takahashi et al. and Tani et al. disclose that the light source controlling means sequentially turns on the plurality of light sources so that the image sensing means may sense an image in a color mode (Takahashi et al.; Column 1, Line 10).

Regarding Claim 10, Takahashi et al. disclose all of the limitations except that of the light source controlling means turning on a part of the plurality of light sources so that the image sensing means may sense an image in a monochromatic mode.

However, Tani et al. disclose turning on a part of a plurality of light sources so that an image sensing means may sense an image in a monochromatic mode (Column 9, Lines 57-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to configure the Takahashi et al., device to have the light source controlling means turn on a part of the plurality of light sources in order to enable the producing of a monochromatic image thereby increasing the utility of the device by providing a monochromatic operating mode in addition to

Art Unit: 2712

the color mode of operation.

As to Claims 17-18, 21 and 23, see Examiner's comments regarding Claim 4-5, 8 and 10 respectively.

3. Claims 6 and 19 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al. in view of Tani et al. and further in view of Lim et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,532,825).

Regarding Claim 6, Takahashi et al. and Tani et al. disclose that the light source controlling means sequentially turns on the plurality of light sources (Takahashi et al.; Figure 8) but the mode of operation is a color mode and not a monochrome mode.

However Lim et al. disclose arranging an image sensing device so as to sense an image in a monochromatic mode (Column 1, Lines 15-23; Column 2, Line 64) using sequential illumination by a plurality of light sources (Column 3, Lines 31-49; Column 4, Lines 49-51). To one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention it would have been an obvious variation of Takahashi et al. and Tani et al. to configure the device so as to produce its monochromatic image using sequentially illuminated light sources since it is clear that a monochrome mode may be achieved using such an illumination operation.

As to Claim 19, see Examiner's comments regarding Claim 6.

Art Unit: 2712

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Park II
2121 Crystal Drive
Arlington, VA
Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry regarding this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Christensen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9644.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

The fax number for this group is (703) 308-5359.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ac September 23, 1999